



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,318	01/19/2001	Shinichi Tamura	330-231	6090

7590 01/17/2003

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.
8th Floor
1100 North Glebe Rd.
Arlington, VA 22201-4714

EXAMINER

FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1774

DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003

10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/766,318	Applicant(s) TAMURA, SHINICHI	
	Examiner Lawrence D Ferguson	Art Unit 1774	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lawrence D Ferguson. (3) _____

(2) Arthur R. Crawford. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 January 2003 .

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____ .

Claim(s) discussed: _____ .

Identification of prior art discussed: _____ .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant made mention of Tables 1 and 2 which include comparative examples of similar compositions and the claimed properties for consideration of patentability. Examiner stated the Tables do not include the content of the prior art and fail to teach how the comparative examples overcome or are an improvement over the teachings of the prior art .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

STAMP: RECEIVED
JAN 21 2003
PTO

Cynthia H. Keely

L. Ferguson
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.